

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/811,842	LINK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) David W. Clough, Ph.D.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2003
Time: 2pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

53-55,57,58,67,68 and 72

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney/agent was contacted regarding the following issues concerning the claims:

- (a) Claims 53 and 57 had formal issues regarding claim structure;
- (b) Claim 53 required a positive process step recapitulating the preamble of the claim;
- (c) Claims 53 and 54 required typographical changes to more clearly indicate the claimed subject matter;
- (d) Claims 55 and 58 required the removal of terminology that represented new matter;
- (e) Claims 67, 68 and 72 required amendment to correct issues concerning a lack of antecedent basis.

While not acquiescing to the correctness of the aforementioned issues, Applicant's attorney/agent approved the attached Examiner's Amendment for the sole purpose of furthering prosecution of the application to allowance.